

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/042,875	01/08/2002	Fung-jou Chen	13,042.3	5370
23556 75	90 02/10/2006		EXAMINER	
	CLARK WORLDWID	STEPHENS, JACQUELINE F		
401 NORTH LA NEENAH, WI			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
,			3761	

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u> </u>
	10/042,875	CHEN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jacqueline F. Stephens	3761	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replif NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a rep ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH te, cause the application to become ABAI	ly be timely filed (30) days will be considered timely. HS from the mailing date of this com	nmunication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	November 2005		
	is action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allows		rs, prosecution as to the i	merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>85-88 and 91</u> is/are pending in the a	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>85-88 and 91</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	cepted or b) objected to by	y the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• ,	• •	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre			
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action or form PTC	D-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document 		119(a)-(d) or (f).	
Certified copies of the priority documer		nlication No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri			Stage
application from the International Bure	•		9-
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	eceived.	
	·		
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		mmary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/	Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTO-	152)
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	6) Other:		132)
	, <u> </u>	=	

Application/Control Number: 10/042,875 Page 2

Art Unit: 3761

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 85-88 and 91 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 3761

4. Claims 85-88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahr USPN 5763044.

As to claims 85-88 Ahr discloses an absorbent web capable of being used as a pad and having a dry feel when wet (Abstract) comprising a cellulosic basesheet (col. 6, lines 40-45) having an upper surface and a lower surface, the upper surface having elevated and depressed regions (Figure 7). The web further comprises hydrophobic matter (col. 5, lines 63-65) preferentially on the elevated regions of the upper surface of the base sheet (Figure 7).

With respect to the dimensions of the web, the specification contains no disclosure of either the critical nature of the claim limitations nor any unexpected results arising therefrom. Ahr teaches hydrophobic fibrils on the topsheet for the same purpose, to improve the surface wetness characteristics of the topsheet by separating the wearer's body from any bodily fluids that may remain on the body side surface of the topsheet, thus providing the body surface with a pleasant tactile feel, therefore to provide the article of Ahr with the claimed surface depth would have been obvious and this modification is within the capabilities of one of ordinary skill in the art. More particularly, where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, it is not inventive to discover the optimum or workable ranges by routine experimentation, *In re Aller* et al, 105 USPQ 233.

Ahr does not disclosed the claimed performance test characteristics. However, pages 36 and 40-42 of the present application sets forth materials capable of being

used in the dual-zoned web. Ahr teaches similar materials for the web as well as provides the method of making a wetlaid web, (col. 4, lines 27-55; col. 5, lines 63-65; col. 6, lines 40-55 and Figure 7). Thus, Ahr obviously includes a topsheet capable of having the claimed performance characteristics. When the structure recited in the reference is substantially identical to that of the claims of the instant invention, claimed properties or functions are presumed to be inherent (MPEP 2112-2112.01). A *prima facie* case of either anticipation or obviousness has been established when the reference discloses all the limitations of a claim except a property or function and the examiner can not determine whether or not the reference inherently possesses properties which anticipate or render obvious the claimed invention but has basis for shifting the burden of proof as in *In re Fitzgerald*, 619 F.2d 67, 70 205 USPQ 594, 596 (CCPA 1980).

5. Claim 91 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ahr USPN 5763044 in view of Tanzer et al. USPN 5562645.

As to claim 91, Ahr does not disclose a meltblown material applied to the surface of the first outermost tissue web. Tanzer USPN 5562645 discloses a meltblown material as the topsheet material for the benefit of providing a topsheet to help isolate the wearer's skin from liquids held in absorbent structure (col. 5, lines 20-32). It would

Application/Control Number: 10/042,875 Page 5

Art Unit: 3761

have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to modify the surface material of Ahr with the meltblown fibers taught in Tanzer for the benefits Tanzer discloses.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jacqueline F. Stephens whose telephone number is (571) 272-4937. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tanya Zalukaeva can be reached on (571) 272-1115. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Jacqueline F Stephens

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3761

February 6, 2006